

Worldwide Open Proficiency Test for X Ray Fluorescence Laboratories

PTXRFIAEA12

**Determination of Major, Minor and
Trace Elements in a Plant Sample**

IAEA Laboratories, Seibersdorf
December 2015

CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST SAMPLE	1
3.	DETAILS OF THE EXERCISE.....	1
3.1.	ASSIGNED VALUE AND TARGET STANDARD DEVIATION.....	1
3.2.	z -SCORES AND u -SCORES	2
3.3.	CONSENSUS VALUES	4
4.	RESULTS	7
	REFERENCES.....	65
	GLOSSARY.....	67
	LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS TO DRAFTING AND REVIEW.....	69

FOREWORD

The IAEA assists its Member States laboratories to continuously improve their analytical performance by producing reference materials, by developing standardized analytical methods, and by conducting inter-laboratory comparisons and proficiency tests. To ensure a reliable worldwide, rapid and consistent response, the IAEA Nuclear Science and Instrumentation Laboratory in Seibersdorf, Austria, coordinates proficiency tests for Member States laboratories.

This summary report presents the results of the worldwide proficiency test PTXRFIAEA12 on the determination of minor and trace elements in a plant sample. Methodologies, statistical analysis, and evaluation of results (for each element and for each laboratory) are also reported. The test was carried out within the IAEA project Nuclear Instrumentation, under the Accelerators and Nuclear Spectrometry Subprogram, Nuclear Science Program. The main objective of the project is to enhance capability of interested Member States in effective utilization of nuclear spectrometry and analytical services in industry, human health, agriculture, and in monitoring and evaluation of the environment.

This proficiency test was designed to identify potential analytical problems, to support IAEA Member States laboratories to improve the quality of their analytical results, to maintain their accreditation and to provide a regular forum for discussion and technology transfer in this topic.

The coordinator of the proficiency test and responsible for this publication were A. Migliori of the IAEA Nuclear Science and Instrumentation Laboratory, Seibersdorf (Austria).

1. INTRODUCTION

The PTXRFIAEA12 proficiency test was aimed at analytical laboratories applying X-ray fluorescence (XRF) techniques in environmental monitoring. The participants were requested to use their established and proven analytical procedures for the determination of concentrations of chemical elements in a plant sample.

Plant samples with established homogeneity and well characterized known target values of the mass fractions of analytes were distributed to participating laboratories. The laboratories were requested to analyze the sample using established techniques following their analytical procedures. Based on the results of the proficiency test presented in the report, each participating laboratory should assess its analytical performance by using the specified criteria and, if appropriate, to identify discrepancies, and to correct relevant analytical procedures.

The samples, together with detailed instructions for analysts, were distributed to the participating laboratories in July 2015. The deadline for submission of the results was October 15, 2015. The last results were received on December 21, 2015. The submitted results were processed, grouped versus analytes/laboratories and compared with the analytes' assigned values. The values of z - and of u -scores were calculated for three fit-for-purpose levels. For the definitions of the z - and u -scores please see Section 3.2. The obtained results as well as the description of the data evaluation procedures have been presented in this report. Each laboratory was assigned a code, therefore full anonymity of the presented results is guaranteed. The link between the laboratory code and the laboratory name is known only to the organizers of the proficiency test and to the laboratory itself.

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST SAMPLE

The test sample was a plant sample material prepared and tested by an external independent laboratory. The powdered, homogenized, and dried material was distributed to 49 laboratories in sealed plastic bags, each bag containing 10 g of the test sample. The participants were asked to conduct the determination of the mass fractions of chemical elements making up the sample according to their routine analytical procedures. They were also instructed to determine the moisture content of the material by using a separate sample and to report the results on a dry-weight basis. Only one result per element per analytical technique should be submitted. Each result should be accompanied by an estimate of its uncertainty expressed as one standard deviation. No restriction on the number of the reported elements was imposed.

3. DETAILS OF THE EXERCISE

3.1. ASSIGNED VALUE AND TARGET STANDARD DEVIATION

The reference values supplied by the provider of the material, established by independent inter-laboratory survey, were used as the assigned values of the analytes, X_A . The results for 41 analytes were submitted by participants of this proficiency test.

The z - and u -scores were calculated for all the submitted results of all analytes except 21 elements, for which the assigned values were not available.

For each analyte a target value of the standard deviation has been assigned using a modified Horowitz function as proposed in the reference [1]:

$$H_A = \begin{cases} 0.22X_A & X_A < 1.2 \cdot 10^{-7} \\ 0.02(X_A)^{0.8495} & 1.2 \cdot 10^{-7} \leq X_A \leq 0.138 \\ 0.01\sqrt{X_A} & X_A > 0.138 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

In Eqn. (1) the assigned value of analyte, X_A , is expressed as a mass fraction. The target value of the standard deviation, σ_A is related to H_A by a factor k :

$$\sigma_A = kH_A, \quad k = 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 \quad (2)$$

Depending on the value of the factor k the target value of the standard deviation is recognized as fit-for-purpose at three levels of uncertainty: $k = 0.5$ - appropriate for high precision analysis; $k = 1.0$ - appropriate for well-established routine analysis; $k = 1.5$ - satisfactory for common analytical tasks. The relative value of the target standard deviation, RSD , expressed in per cent, is defined as follows:

$$RSD = \frac{\sigma_A}{X_A} \cdot 100\% \quad (3)$$

The relative value of the target standard deviation as a function of the assigned mass fraction of the analyte, X_A , is shown in Fig. 1 for the three different values of the k factor.

3.2. z -SCORES AND u -SCORES

The reported concentrations of analytes were compared with the assigned values by using the z -score analysis. For every result a z -score was calculated:

$$z = \frac{x - X_A}{\sigma_A} \quad (4)$$

The term ‘ x ’ denotes the reported mass fraction of analyte. Defined by different fit-for-purpose ranges of the target standard deviation, three different values of z -scores were calculated by combining Eqns. (2) and (4). Assuming that appropriate values for X_A and σ_A have been used and that the underlying distribution of analytical errors is normal, apart from outliers, in a well-behaved analytical system z -scores would be expected to fall outside the range $-2 \leq z \leq 2$ in about 4.6% of instances, and outside the range $-3 < z < 3$ only in about 0.3%. Therefore, based on the z -scores the following decision limits were established:

- $|z| \leq 2$ - a satisfactory result
 - $2 < |z| < 3$ - the result is considered questionable
 - $|z| \geq 3$ - the result is considered unsatisfactory
- (5)

The advice to the laboratory is that, independent of the fit-for-purpose range selected by the laboratory, any z -score for an element outside the range $-2 \leq z \leq 2$ should be examined by the analyst and all steps of the analytical procedure verified to identify the source(s) of the analytical bias.

For every participant the rescaled sum of z -scores, RSZ , as well as the sum of squared z -scores, SSZ , were calculated as defined by the following equations:

$$RSZ = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^L z_i}{\sqrt{L}} \quad (6)$$

$$SSZ = \sum_{i=1}^L (z_i)^2 \quad (7)$$

The symbol ‘ L ’ denotes the number of results provided by the laboratory/participant for all the analytes determined. The summing up in Eqns. (6) and (7) takes into account all z -scores for all analytes with known assigned values reported by participant. The RSZ can be interpreted as a standardized normally distributed variable, with expected value equal to zero and unit variance. It is sensitive in detecting a small consistent bias in an analytical system, however, it is not sensitive in cases where there are even big errors but having opposite signs. The SSZ takes no account of the signs because it depends on the squared z -scores. It has a chi-squared (χ^2) distribution with L degrees of freedom. The SSZ can be regarded as complementary to RSZ , which means that if RSZ is well within the range $-3 < RSZ < 3$ and if at the same time the value of SSZ is above the $\chi^2_{critical}$ value the overall performance of the laboratory requires improvement.

The reported results were accompanied by the standard uncertainty estimate made by the participant. The values were used to calculate u -scores:

$$u = \frac{|x - X_A|}{\sqrt{(\sigma_A)^2 + (\sigma_x)^2}} \quad (8)$$

The symbol ‘ σ_x ’ denotes the standard uncertainty of the submitted result x . If the assumptions about X_A and σ_A and about the normality of the underlying distributions are correct, and the laboratory estimate of σ_x takes into account all the significant sources of uncertainty, the u -scores would have a truncated normal distribution with unit variance. In a well-behaved analytical system only 0.1% of u -scores would fall outside the range $u < 3.29$. Therefore, the following decision limits for the u -scores were established:

- $u \leq 1.64$ - reported result does not differ from the assigned value
 - $1.64 < u \leq 1.95$ - reported result probably does not differ from the assigned value
 - $1.95 < u \leq 2.58$ - it is not clear whether the reported and assigned values differ
 - $2.58 < u \leq 3.29$ - reported result is probably different from the assigned value
 - $3.29 < u$ - reported result differs from the assigned value
- (9)

The u -scores are especially useful for deciding whether the laboratory fit-for-purpose criteria are fulfilled. By comparing Eqn. (4) and Eqn. (8) one can notice that for corresponding values of u -score and z -score the following inequality is always fulfilled:

$$u \leq |z| \quad (10)$$

It implies that if the u -score is larger than 3.29 also the decision limit for the corresponding z -score is triggered and the laboratory has to check the analytical procedure as well as review

the uncertainty budget estimation. If u -score stays below the value of 1.64 and at the same time the z -score decision limit is triggered ($|z| > 3$) the laboratory should reevaluate its fit-for-purpose status for that particular analyte.

3.3. CONSENSUS VALUES

To examine the overall performance of the participating laboratories the submitted results have been statistically processed and the consensus values were calculated. The results were tested for the presence of outliers using a set of seven outlier rejection tests, shown below:

Description of symbols:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 < \dots < x_n & \quad - \text{set of analytical results}, \\ \bar{x} & \quad - \text{mean value}, \\ s & \quad - \text{standard deviation}, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

1. Coefficient of kurtosis [2], number of results: $5 \leq n \leq 100$, two-sided test, confidence level = 0.95:

$$b_2 = \frac{n \sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{x} - x_i)^4}{\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{x} - x_i)^2 \right]^2} \tag{12}$$

If $b_2 >$ critical value then reject the result that is at the furthest distance from the mean, decrease n , repeat the procedure until $b_2 \leq$ critical value.

2. Coefficient of skewness [2], number of results, $5 \leq n \leq 60$, one-sided test, confidence level = 0.95:

$$\sqrt{b_1} = \frac{\sqrt{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^3}{\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \right]^{3/2}} \tag{13}$$

If $|\sqrt{b_1}| >$ critical value then: if $\sqrt{b_1}$ is positive then reject x_n , otherwise reject x_1 , decrease n , repeat the procedure until $|\sqrt{b_1}| \leq$ critical value.

3. Veglia's test [3,4], number of results: $4 \leq n \leq \infty$, two-sided test, confidence level = 0.95:

$$h = \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}} \frac{|x_k - \bar{x}_{n-1}|}{s_{n-1}} \tag{14}$$

where:

x_k , examined value, the result at the furthest distance from the mean
 \bar{x}_{n-1} , the mean value of the population of the results with the examined result excluded

s_{n-1} , the standard deviation of the population of the results with the examined result excluded

If $h >$ critical value then reject x_k otherwise temporarily exclude the x_k from the population of results and proceed with testing the next outlier candidate, if the following value of $h >$ critical value then reject both results, decrease n respectively, repeat the procedure until $h \leq$ critical value.

4. Dixon's test [5], number of results: $3 \leq n \leq 25$, two-sided test, confidence level = 0.95:

If x_1 is at the furthest distance from the mean value, then calculate:

$$r = \begin{cases} (x_2 - x_1) / (x_n - x_1), & 3 \leq n \leq 7 \\ (x_2 - x_1) / (x_{n-1} - x_1), & 8 \leq n \leq 10 \\ (x_3 - x_1) / (x_{n-1} - x_1), & 11 \leq n \leq 13 \\ (x_3 - x_1) / (x_{n-2} - x_1), & 14 \leq n \leq 25 \end{cases} \quad (15a)$$

If x_n is at the furthest distance from the mean value then calculate:

$$r = \begin{cases} (x_n - x_{n-1}) / (x_n - x_1), & 3 \leq n \leq 7 \\ (x_n - x_{n-1}) / (x_n - x_2), & 8 \leq n \leq 10 \\ (x_n - x_{n-2}) / (x_n - x_2), & 11 \leq n \leq 13 \\ (x_n - x_{n-2}) / (x_n - x_3), & 14 \leq n \leq 25 \end{cases} \quad (15b)$$

If $r >$ critical value then reject the tested result, decrease n , repeat the procedure until $r \leq$ critical value.

5. Outlier rejection test proposed in [2], number of results: $4 \leq n \leq 100$, two-sided test, confidence level = 0.95:

$$w/s = (x_n - x_1) / s \quad (16)$$

If $w/s >$ critical value then: if $x_n - \bar{x} = \bar{x} - x_1$, reject both x_1 and x_n , otherwise reject x_k ($x_k = x_1$ or $x_k = x_n$), the result that is at the furthest distance from the mean, for the remaining population of results ($n' = n - 1$) calculate: $T_k = |\bar{x}' - x_k| / s'$, where: \bar{x}' is the mean value and s' is the standard deviation of the population of the results excluding the rejected value x_k , if $T_k >$ critical value then reject also the second extreme result, decrease n respectively, repeat the procedure until $w/s \leq$ critical value.

6. Outlier rejection test proposed in [6], number of results: $3 \leq n < \infty$, two-sided test, confidence level = 0.95:

$$B_4 = |x_k - \bar{x}| / s \quad (17)$$

where:

x_k , examined value

If $B_4 >$ critical value then reject the tested result, repeat the procedure until $B_4 \leq$ critical value.

7. Outlier rejection test proposed in [7], number of results: $3 \leq n \leq 100$, two-sided test, confidence level = 0.95:

$$S_k^2 / S = \frac{\sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^n (x_i - \bar{x}')^2}{\sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}, \quad k = 1 \text{ or } k = n \quad (18)$$

where:

x_k , examined value, the result at the furthest distance from the mean

\bar{x}' , the mean value of the population of the results with the examined result x_k excluded

If $S_k^2 / S >$ critical value then reject x_k , decrease n , repeat the procedure until $S_k^2 / S \leq$ critical value.

The results which passed the outlier rejection procedures were used to calculate the consensus mean value of analyte, X_C , and corresponding consensus value of its standard deviation, σ_C :

$$X_C = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m x_i}{m} \quad (19)$$

and

$$\sigma_C = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - X_C)^2}{m(m-1)}} \quad (20)$$

The term m denotes the number of reported values for a given analyte excluding the outliers rejected by at least one of the outlier rejections tests. The summing up in Eqn. (19) and (20) takes into account only the results which passed all the outlier rejection tests. The obtained consensus values were compared with the assigned values of analytes.

4. RESULTS

The test sample was distributed to 48 laboratories for chemical composition analysis. Out of the 48 laboratories, 37 participated in the test submitting 503 individual results for 41 chemical elements. The list of the participating laboratories is presented at the end of this report.

The techniques used by the participants and their codes are listed in Table 1.

The techniques EDXRFS, EDXRFISOTOP, and EDXRFTUBE should be considered of similar type. The distinction between them (EDXRFISOTOP or EDXRFTUBE) was based on information provided by the participants. In the case that insufficient information was available a generic type technique EDXRF was assumed. All submitted results have been evaluated.

In Table 2 a summary of the assigned analyte values, the target values of standard deviation (obtained by using modified Horowitz function), the consensus values and their standard deviations are shown. For 21 elements the assigned and target values were not available. The consensus values (Eqn. 19) and corresponding standard deviations (Eqn. 20) were calculated based on 458 reported analytical results after excluding 45 results classified as outliers. The correlation between the assigned and the consensus values is shown in Fig. 2.

TABLE 1. THE CODING, DESCRIPTION AND THE ABBREVIATED NAMES OF THE ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES USED BY PARTICIPANTS OF THE PROFICIENCY TEST EXERCISE

Technique Code	Description	Abbreviation
1.0	Energy dispersive X-ray fluorescence	EDXRFS
1.1	EDXRF, radioisotope excitation	EDXRFISOTOP
1.2	EDXRF, X-ray tube excitation	EDXRFTUBE
1.3	EDXRF, excitation using X-ray tube and secondary targets	EDXRFTUBE-ST
1.4	Total reflection X-ray fluorescence	TXRF
2.0	Wavelength dispersive X-ray fluorescence	WDXRF

TABLE 2. THE ASSIGNED VALUES OF ANALYTES, THE TARGET VALUES OF THE STANDARD DEVIATIONS AND THE CONSENSUS VALUES

Analyte symbol Assigned value of the analyte, X_A	Target value of standard deviation, σ_A			Consensus value of the analyte, X_C	Consensus value of the standard deviation, σ_C	Number of results	Number of outliers				
	$k = 0.5$										
	$k = 1.0$	$k = 1.5$									
[g/kg]											
Ca	59.7	0.91	1.82	2.74	52.78	3.35	34	2			
Cl	8.52	0.17	0.35	0.52	8.17	1.17	17	0			
K	47.8	0.76	1.51	2.27	37.24	2.58	36	4			
Mg	4.94	0.11	0.22	0.33	3.74	0.82	8	1			
P	4.08	0.09	0.19	0.28	3.16	0.33	20	1			
S	3.93	0.09	0.18	0.27	2.55	0.29	20	1			
Si	-	-	-	-	3.88	1.16	8	1			
[mg/kg]											
Al	-	-	-	-	786.97	175.06	8	2			
As	1.8	0.1318	0.2636	0.3953	2.29	0.25	9	3			
Ba	9.97	0.5641	1.13	1.69	19.65	2.82	5	2			
Bi	-	-	-	-	6.50	1.30	1	0			
Br	-	-	-	-	61.42	9.47	28	1			
Cd	0.544	0.0477	0.0954	0.1431	0.84	0.52	4	2			
Ce	-	-	-	-	1.65	0.19	1	0			
Co	0.22	0.0221	0.0442	0.0663	4.20	2.26	4	0			
Cr	1.81	0.1324	0.2648	0.3972	6.16	1.47	14	1			
Cu	10.3	0.5800	1.16	1.74	9.80	0.52	33	6			
Fe	526	16.39	32.77	49.16	493.98	35.11	35	2			
Ga	-	-	-	-	0.30	0.03	1	0			
Hg	0.0807	0.0089	0.0178	0.0266	0.50	0.15	1	0			
La	-	-	-	-	0.10	0.01	1	0			
Mn	83.3	3.42	6.85	10.27	75.66	4.53	36	4			
Mo	2.46	0.1718	0.3437	0.5155	2.13	0.04	5	2			
Na	-	-	-	-	320.96	165.12	3	0			
Nb	-	-	-	-	24.00	2.00	1	0			
Ni	-	-	-	-	4.72	1.04	15	0			
Pb	6.16	0.3748	0.7495	1.12	7.72	0.92	23	0			
Pd	-	-	-	-	7.00	3.00	1	0			
Rb	-	-	-	-	9.21	0.77	28	1			
Sc	-	-	-	-	25.45	12.09	2	0			
Se	-	-	-	-	0.08	0.00	1	0			
Sn	-	-	-	-	13.24	6.02	4	0			

Analyte symbol Assigned value of the analyte, X_A	Target value of standard deviation, σ_A			Consensus value of the analyte, X_C	Consensus value of the standard deviation, σ_C	Number of results	Number of outliers	
	$k = 0.5$	$k = 1.0$	$k = 1.5$					
[mg/kg]								
Sr	94.3	3.80	7.61	11.41	95.11	4.62	32	4
Th	-	-	-	-	2.31	0.21	1	0
Ti	-	-	-	-	37.50	6.39	16	1
U	-	-	-	-	12.00	3.00	1	0
V	1.31	0.1006	0.2012	0.3018	2.85	0.63	3	1
W	-	-	-	-	153.00	40.00	1	0
Y	-	-	-	-	0.87	0.10	2	0
Zn	107	4.24	8.47	12.71	86.15	6.52	36	2
Zr	-	-	-	-	13.18	6.99	4	1

Table 3 lists the values of the z - and u -scores for all submitted results. In brackets next to the element symbol the assigned values of element concentration and the target standard deviation for $k = 1$ are shown. The z - and u -scores were calculated for the three different fit-for-purpose ranges, as defined by Eqn. (2). The results rejected by the outliers rejection procedures were marked with “*” in the “Analyte concentration” column.

Table 4 shows the combined z -scores for the three different fit-for-purpose ranges, the RSZ and SSZ as defined in Eqns. (6) and (7), for the participating laboratories. The analytes without assigned values were not considered.

Figs. 3-22 and 23-36 present the distributions of the proficiency test results. In Figs 3-22 the individual results are marked with filled circles. The dotted lines show the range of the accepted results (these results were used to calculate the consensus values). The outliers are marked with arrows. Also shown are the estimated parameters of the distribution (after outlier rejection): mode, median, and the mean value. For few elements, the result of density distributions could only be used as indicators of the trends observed in the reported data due to the limited number of results (only density distributions of analytes for which at least 5 results passed the outlier rejection tests are shown). All the populations of results, after outlier rejection, have passed a normality test (Kolmogorov-Smirnov). Figs. 23-36 show the bar chart distributions of the z -scores for the analytes with at least 6 submitted results. The results are sorted in ascending order versus laboratory/technique code. The bar charts show the distance between the reported and the assigned values of the analyte. The submitted results and their uncertainties are marked with filled squares accompanied by uncertainty bars. The horizontal lines show the admissible levels of z -score, $|z| < 2$, for three different ranges defined by factor k in Eqn. (2): $k = 0.5$ (solid black lines), $k = 1.0$ (solid green lines) and $k = 1.5$ (solid red lines). The decision levels of satisfactory results, $|z| < 2$, for different fit-for-purpose targets have also been marked.

For every participating laboratory its overall performance is presented in Figs. 37-73. The plots presented in this figure relate all the u -scores and z -scores calculated for a given laboratory. The hollow symbols denote the values calculated for specific fit-for-purpose levels as defined in Eqn. (2) with factor k , namely: $k = 0.5$ (black triangles), $k = 1.0$ (green circles), and $k = 1.5$ (red squares). The decision limits of unsatisfactory results were marked with black lines ($|z| > 3$, $u > 3.29$). They divide the plot area in four quadrants. Due to inequality (10) all the points accompanied by a laboratory estimate of the uncertainty fall always below the line $u = |z|$. The smaller the laboratory estimate of the uncertainty the closer the related point to the $u = |z|$ line. Points in the immediate proximity of the dashed diagonal line ($u = |z|$) have underestimated uncertainty values. The well performing laboratories would have more points located in the lower-left quadrant of the plot. If there are many points located in the upper-right quadrant it suggests that these results do not fall in the defined fit-for-purpose targets and that the laboratory provided too “narrow” uncertainty estimate.

Fig. 74 shows the partitioning of the results between different analytical techniques. The largest fraction of analyses was carried out with the energy dispersive spectrometry, whereas about 6% with wavelength dispersive mode.

TABLE 3. SUMMARY OF THE REPORTED RESULTS AND THE CALCULATED z -AND u -SCORES

Laboratory code	Technique code	Analyte concentration	Standard dev.	Relative std. dev., [%]	z -scores				u -scores			
					$k = 0.5$	$k = 1.0$	$k = 1.5$	$k = 0.5$	$k = 1.0$	$k = 1.5$		
Ca (59.7 ± 1.82) [g/kg]												
120	1.4	5.21	0.02	0.37	-59.72	-29.86	-19.91	59.71	29.86	19.91		
117	1.4	26	3.00	11.54	-36.94	-18.47	-12.31	10.75	9.60	8.30		
127	1.3	26.09	3.26	12.51	-36.84	-18.42	-12.28	9.91	8.99	7.89		
122	1.4	27.57	5.87	21.30	-35.21	-17.61	-11.74	5.41	5.22	4.96		
72	1.4	33	2.69	8.15	-29.27	-14.63	-9.76	9.40	8.22	6.96		
129	1.0	36.1	0.90	2.49	-25.87	-12.93	-8.62	18.41	11.60	8.19		
124	1.3	39.82	2.80	7.03	-21.79	-10.90	-7.26	6.75	5.95	5.08		
104	1.4	43.22	0.23	0.54	-18.06	-9.03	-6.02	17.50	8.96	6.00		
63	1.2	44.92	0.90	2.00	-16.20	-8.10	-5.40	11.55	7.27	5.13		
77	1.3	47.02	2.10	4.47	-13.90	-6.95	-4.63	5.54	4.56	3.67		
85	1.3	47.3	5.00	10.57	-13.59	-6.80	-4.53	2.44	2.33	2.18		
53	1.4	47.55	2.00	4.21	-13.32	-6.66	-4.44	5.53	4.49	3.58		
128	1.4	47.55	2.23	4.70	-13.31	-6.66	-4.44	5.03	4.21	3.44		
78	1.4	47.76	1.99	4.16	-13.09	-6.54	-4.36	5.46	4.43	3.53		
126	1.2	48.32	1.93	3.99	-12.48	-6.24	-4.16	5.33	4.29	3.40		
73	1.0	49.88	1.84	3.69	-10.76	-5.38	-3.59	4.78	3.79	2.98		
123	1.2	51.18	2.21	4.31	-9.34	-4.67	-3.11	3.57	2.98	2.42		
54	1.4	51.37	0.44	0.85	-9.13	-4.56	-3.04	8.24	4.44	3.00		
65	1.3	53.7	0.53	0.99	-6.57	-3.29	-2.19	5.68	3.16	2.15		
89	1.3	54.42	0.54	0.99	-5.79	-2.89	-1.93	4.99	2.78	1.89		
76	2.0	56.45	0.27	0.49	-3.56	-1.78	-1.19	3.41	1.76	1.18		
79	1.1	57.12	3.31	5.79	-2.82	-1.41	-0.94	0.75	0.68	0.60		
105	1.4	58.52	1.50	2.56	-1.30	-0.65	-0.43	0.67	0.50	0.38		
95	1.4	65	3.00	4.62	5.81	2.90	1.94	1.69	1.51	1.31		
69	1.1	65.9	7.70	11.68	6.80	3.40	2.27	0.80	0.78	0.76		
37	1.3	74.17	0.53	0.72	15.86	7.93	5.29	13.70	7.61	5.19		
90	1.3	74.5	2.60	3.49	16.22	8.11	5.41	5.37	4.66	3.92		
56	1.3	75.84	2.53	3.34	17.69	8.84	5.90	6.00	5.17	4.33		
75	1.0	78.97	0.25	0.31	21.12	10.56	7.04	20.39	10.46	7.01		
36	1.2	80.4	1.10	1.37	22.69	11.34	7.56	14.48	9.72	7.02		
121	1.2	82.75	1.70	2.05	25.26	12.63	8.42	11.95	9.24	7.15		
107	1.4	91.44	2.53	2.77	34.79	17.39	11.60	11.79	10.16	8.51		
68	1.3	261.6*	1.40	0.54	221.28	110.64	73.76	120.82	87.78	65.67		
29	1.5	392.95*	22.16	5.64	365.24	182.62	121.75	15.02	14.99	14.92		
Cl (8.52 ± 0.35) [g/kg]												
72	1.4	0.27	0.01	4.36	-47.29	-23.64	-15.76	47.18	23.63	15.76		
104	1.4	0.59	0.01	2.47	-45.41	-22.71	-15.14	45.25	22.69	15.13		
105	1.4	2.81	0.68	24.31	-32.72	-16.36	-10.91	8.10	7.45	6.64		
63	1.2	4.66	0.07	1.50	-22.13	-11.06	-7.38	20.54	10.85	7.31		
68	1.3	5.42	0.02	0.44	-17.79	-8.89	-5.93	17.62	8.87	5.92		

TABLE 3 (cont.). SUMMARY OF THE REPORTED RESULTS AND THE CALCULATED *z*- AND *u*-SCORES

Laboratory code	Technique code	Analyte concentration	Standard dev.	Relative std. dev., [%]	<i>z</i> -scores				<i>u</i> -scores	
					<i>k</i> = 0.5	<i>k</i> = 1.0	<i>k</i> = 1.5	<i>k</i> = 0.5	<i>k</i> = 1.0	<i>k</i> = 1.5
37	1.3	7	0.07	1.03	-8.71	-4.36	-2.90	8.05	4.27	2.88
29	1.5	7.14	0.03	0.42	-7.89	-3.95	-2.63	7.78	3.93	2.63
65	1.3	7.31	0.04	0.56	-6.96	-3.48	-2.32	6.77	3.46	2.31
73	1.0	7.79	0.23	3.01	-4.21	-2.10	-1.40	2.51	1.75	1.28
76	2.0	8.18	0.11	1.30	-1.92	-0.96	-0.64	1.64	0.92	0.63
69	1.1	8.7	1.00	11.44	1.03	0.52	0.34	0.18	0.17	0.16
129	1.0	9.88	0.45	4.58	7.81	3.90	2.60	2.81	2.38	1.97
75	1.0	10.6	0.10	0.92	11.92	5.96	3.97	10.42	5.74	3.91
36	1.2	11.1	0.30	2.70	14.78	7.39	4.93	7.43	5.61	4.28
126	1.2	13.29	0.65	4.90	27.35	13.68	9.12	7.07	6.46	5.71
121	1.2	16.63	1.00	6.01	46.46	23.23	15.49	7.99	7.66	7.18
123	1.4	17.59	0.96	5.47	51.98	25.99	17.33	9.27	8.86	8.28
K (47.8 ± 1.51) [g/kg]										
120	1.4	2.79	0.01	0.48	-59.59	-29.79	-19.86	59.58	29.79	19.86
63	1.2	4.66	0.19	4.08	-57.11	-28.56	-19.04	55.39	28.33	18.97
72	1.4	4.84	0.23	4.81	-56.88	-28.44	-18.96	54.35	28.11	18.86
127	1.3	23.06	7.54	32.70	-32.75	-16.38	-10.92	3.26	3.22	3.14
121	1.2	23.78	0.60	2.52	-31.80	-15.90	-10.60	24.90	14.78	10.25
129	1.0	24.4	0.70	2.87	-30.98	-15.49	-10.33	22.72	14.05	9.87
85	1.3	28.4	3.00	10.56	-25.68	-12.84	-8.56	6.27	5.78	5.16
126	1.2	30.39	0.91	2.99	-23.05	-11.53	-7.68	14.73	9.88	7.13
122	1.4	30.62	4.88	15.94	-22.75	-11.37	-7.58	3.48	3.36	3.19
123	1.2	31.99	0.88	2.74	-20.93	-10.46	-6.98	13.65	9.05	6.50
77	1.3	33.59	1.62	4.82	-18.81	-9.40	-6.27	7.95	6.41	5.10
65	1.3	34.02	0.29	0.86	-18.25	-9.12	-6.08	17.01	8.96	6.03
128	1.4	37.24	1.77	4.75	-13.98	-6.99	-4.66	5.49	4.54	3.67
104	1.4	37.32	0.21	0.55	-13.87	-6.94	-4.62	13.38	6.87	4.61
53	1.4	39.06	0.73	1.87	-11.56	-5.78	-3.85	8.32	5.21	3.67
37	1.3	39.4	0.27	0.69	-11.12	-5.56	-3.71	10.46	5.47	3.68
79	1.1	40.45	1.44	3.57	-9.73	-4.86	-3.24	4.51	3.52	2.73
56	1.3	40.74	0.14	0.33	-9.34	-4.67	-3.11	9.19	4.65	3.11
76	2.0	41.54	0.24	0.59	-8.29	-4.14	-2.76	7.89	4.09	2.75
117	1.4	42	1.60	3.81	-7.68	-3.84	-2.56	3.28	2.64	2.09
78	1.4	42.42	1.43	3.38	-7.12	-3.56	-2.37	3.32	2.58	2.00
73	1.0	43.75	1.04	2.39	-5.36	-2.68	-1.79	3.14	2.20	1.62
92	1.4	44.81	0.11	0.25	-3.96	-1.98	-1.32	3.92	1.97	1.32
54	1.4	44.88	0.40	0.89	-3.87	-1.93	-1.29	3.42	1.87	1.27
69	1.1	47.3	5.37	11.35	-0.66	-0.33	-0.22	0.09	0.09	0.09
93	2.0	48.83	0.66	1.35	1.36	0.68	0.45	1.02	0.62	0.44
105	1.4	49.33	1.44	2.92	2.02	1.01	0.67	0.94	0.73	0.57
124	1.3	50.71	5.50	10.85	3.86	1.93	1.29	0.52	0.51	0.49
75	1.0	53.4	0.24	0.45	7.41	3.71	2.47	7.06	3.66	2.46
89	1.3	53.98	0.77	1.43	8.18	4.09	2.73	5.71	3.64	2.58
90	1.3	54.8	3.00	5.47	9.27	4.63	3.09	2.26	2.08	1.86

TABLE 3 (cont.). SUMMARY OF THE REPORTED RESULTS AND THE CALCULATED *z*- AND *u*-SCORES

Laboratory code	Technique code	Analyte concentration	Standard dev.	Relative std. dev., [%]	<i>z</i> -scores				<i>u</i> -scores	
					<i>k</i> = 0.5	<i>k</i> = 1.0	<i>k</i> = 1.5	<i>k</i> = 0.5	<i>k</i> = 1.0	<i>k</i> = 1.5
36	1.2	67.2	2.20	3.27	25.68	12.84	8.56	8.34	7.27	6.14
95	1.4	88*	5.00	5.68	53.22	26.61	17.74	7.95	7.70	7.32
107	1.4	88.64*	3.04	3.43	54.07	27.03	18.02	13.03	12.02	10.76
29	1.5	118.71*	12.18	10.26	93.87	46.94	31.29	5.81	5.78	5.72
68	1.3	200.5*	0.80	0.40	202.15	101.07	67.38	138.78	89.32	63.54
Mg (4.94 ± 0.22) [g/kg]										
76	2.0	0.49	0.01	2.08	-40.54	-20.27	-13.51	40.37	20.25	13.51
117	1.4	2.28	0.09	3.95	-24.21	-12.11	-8.07	18.73	11.20	7.79
127	1.3	2.47	0.23	9.25	-22.53	-11.26	-7.51	9.78	7.82	6.18
37	1.3	3.5	0.08	2.36	-13.15	-6.57	-4.38	10.51	6.15	4.25
85	1.3	5.4	0.54	10.00	4.19	2.09	1.40	0.83	0.79	0.73
65	1.3	5.49	0.10	1.89	4.98	2.49	1.66	3.62	2.25	1.58
123	1.2	6.58	0.26	3.92	14.91	7.45	4.97	5.84	4.83	3.91
68	1.3	177*	15.00	8.47	1566.24	783.12	522.08	11.47	11.47	11.47
P (4.08 ± 0.19) [g/kg]										
120	1.4	0	0.00	25.00	-43.69	-21.84	-14.56	43.69	21.84	14.56
72	1.4	0.47	0.02	3.75	-38.61	-19.30	-12.87	37.92	19.22	12.84
128	1.4	1.4	0.08	5.41	-28.65	-14.33	-9.55	22.22	13.27	9.22
127	1.3	1.7	0.75	44.17	-25.50	-12.75	-8.50	3.15	3.08	2.97
69	1.1	2.54	0.31	12.36	-16.49	-8.25	-5.50	4.70	4.22	3.66
105	1.4	2.89	0.13	4.61	-12.71	-6.36	-4.24	7.30	5.17	3.83
85	1.3	3.04	0.30	9.87	-11.14	-5.57	-3.71	3.31	2.94	2.53
65	1.3	3.37	0.01	0.31	-7.60	-3.80	-2.53	7.55	3.79	2.53
78	1.4	3.39	0.17	5.11	-7.41	-3.71	-2.47	3.52	2.72	2.10
37	1.3	3.59	0.04	1.12	-5.26	-2.63	-1.75	4.83	2.57	1.74
117	1.4	3.6	0.20	5.56	-5.14	-2.57	-1.71	2.17	1.75	1.39
76	2.0	3.62	0.08	2.34	-4.95	-2.47	-1.65	3.67	2.25	1.58
77	1.3	3.67	0.19	5.23	-4.41	-2.21	-1.47	1.93	1.54	1.21
104	1.4	3.72	0.06	1.53	-3.90	-1.95	-1.30	3.33	1.87	1.28
53	1.4	3.79	0.27	7.12	-3.08	-1.54	-1.03	1.01	0.88	0.74
75	1.0	3.85	0.10	2.52	-2.43	-1.22	-0.81	1.69	1.08	0.77
123	1.2	4.28	0.14	3.36	2.14	1.07	0.71	1.16	0.85	0.63
63	1.2	5.2	0.62	11.99	11.94	5.97	3.98	1.77	1.71	1.63
126	1.1	5.94	0.59	10.00	19.94	9.97	6.65	3.10	2.99	2.84
68	1.3	11*	0.10	0.91	74.11	37.05	24.70	50.58	32.66	23.26
S (3.93 ± 0.18) [g/kg]										
120	1.4	0.01	0.00	4.45	-43.31	-21.65	-14.44	43.30	21.65	14.43
72	1.4	0.23	0.01	2.79	-40.87	-20.43	-13.62	40.76	20.42	13.62
29	1.5	1.09	0.01	1.07	-31.41	-15.70	-10.47	31.15	15.67	10.46
127	1.3	1.38	0.40	28.84	-28.22	-14.11	-9.41	6.26	5.85	5.30
129	1.0	1.45	0.37	25.24	-27.43	-13.71	-9.14	6.58	6.08	5.45
117	1.4	1.67	0.20	11.98	-24.98	-12.49	-8.33	10.30	8.38	6.70

TABLE 3 (cont.). SUMMARY OF THE REPORTED RESULTS AND THE CALCULATED *z*- AND *u*-SCORES

Laboratory code	Technique code	Analyte concentration	Standard dev.	Relative std. dev., [%]	<i>z</i> -scores				<i>u</i> -scores	
					<i>k</i> = 0.5	<i>k</i> = 1.0	<i>k</i> = 1.5	<i>k</i> = 0.5	<i>k</i> = 1.0	<i>k</i> = 1.5
128	1.4	2.09	0.06	2.78	-20.39	-10.20	-6.80	17.17	9.71	6.65
53	1.4	2.71	0.11	4.06	-13.50	-6.75	-4.50	8.57	5.77	4.17
69	1.1	2.76	0.32	11.74	-12.93	-6.47	-4.31	3.48	3.15	2.77
37	1.3	3.07	0.02	0.75	-9.54	-4.77	-3.18	9.24	4.73	3.17
85	1.3	3.1	0.30	9.68	-9.18	-4.59	-3.06	2.65	2.37	2.05
73	1.0	3.2	0.12	3.85	-8.09	-4.04	-2.70	4.79	3.34	2.46
105	1.4	3.2	0.13	3.96	-8.07	-4.03	-2.69	4.69	3.30	2.44
75	1.0	3.21	0.06	1.93	-7.99	-4.00	-2.66	6.59	3.78	2.60
65	1.3	3.44	0.00	0.14	-5.37	-2.69	-1.79	5.37	2.69	1.79
78	1.4	3.57	0.32	8.82	-3.98	-1.99	-1.33	1.10	0.99	0.87
123	1.2	3.84	0.15	4.01	-1.03	-0.51	-0.34	0.52	0.39	0.30
76	2.0	3.84	0.05	1.26	-0.94	-0.47	-0.31	0.83	0.46	0.31
126	1.1	4.77	0.71	14.91	9.28	4.64	3.09	1.17	1.14	1.10
68	1.3	15.3*	0.10	0.65	125.70	62.85	41.90	84.32	55.00	39.31
Si [g/kg]										
120	1.4	0.06	0.001	2.26	-	-	-	-	-	-
123	1.2	0.41	0.01	2.20	-	-	-	-	-	-
85	1.3	2.19	0.22	10.05	-	-	-	-	-	-
76	2.0	4.17	0.11	2.55	-	-	-	-	-	-
65	1.3	5.94	0.30	5.00	-	-	-	-	-	-
69	1.1	6.80	1.01	14.85	-	-	-	-	-	-
63	1.2	7.65	0.18	2.29	-	-	-	-	-	-
68	1.3	77.9*	0.30	0.39	-	-	-	-	-	-

TABLE 3 (cont.). SUMMARY OF THE REPORTED RESULTS AND THE CALCULATED *z*- AND *u*-SCORES

Laboratory code	Technique code	Analyte concentration	Standard dev.	Relative std. dev., [%]	<i>z</i> -scores			<i>u</i> -scores		
					<i>k</i> = 0.5	<i>k</i> = 1.0	<i>k</i> = 1.5	<i>k</i> = 0.5	<i>k</i> = 1.0	<i>k</i> = 1.5
Al [mg/kg]										
75	1.0	181	25.00	13.81	-	-	-	-	-	-
65	1.3	579.33	11.75	2.03	-	-	-	-	-	-
37	1.3	654.75	22.52	3.44	-	-	-	-	-	-
76	2.0	745.72	52.07	6.98	-	-	-	-	-	-
123	1.2	1243.7	112.00	9.01	-	-	-	-	-	-
105	1.4	1317.308	216.69	16.45	-	-	-	-	-	-
69	1.1	4100*	665.00	16.22	-	-	-	-	-	-
68	1.3	43000*	2700.00	6.28	-	-	-	-	-	-
As (1.8 ± 0.26) [mg/kg]										
123	1.2	0.001*	0.03	3000	-13.65	-6.83	-4.55	13.31	6.78	4.54
56	1.3	1.6	0.30	18.75	-1.52	-0.76	-0.51	0.61	0.50	0.40
78	1.4	1.7	0.30	17.65	-0.76	-0.38	-0.25	0.31	0.25	0.20
53	1.4	2.2	0.30	13.64	3.04	1.52	1.01	1.22	1.00	0.81
117	1.4	2.3	0.20	8.70	3.79	1.90	1.26	2.09	1.51	1.13
105	1.4	2.835	0.09	3.17	7.85	3.93	2.62	6.49	3.72	2.55
92	1.4	3.132	0.06	1.98	10.11	5.05	3.37	9.15	4.92	3.33
125	2.0	4.59*	0.69	15.03	21.17	10.59	7.06	3.97	3.78	3.51
68	1.3	9*	1.00	11.11	54.64	27.32	18.21	7.14	6.96	6.70
Ba (9.97 ± 1.13) [mg/kg]										
77	1.3	14.3	1.10	7.69	7.68	3.84	2.56	3.50	2.75	2.15
65	1.3	20.77	7.12	34.28	19.14	9.57	6.38	1.51	1.50	1.48
126	1.1	23.89	2.40	10.05	24.67	12.34	8.22	5.65	5.25	4.74
37	1.3	40.12*	8.62	21.49	53.44	26.72	17.81	3.49	3.47	3.43
107	1.4	64.045*	16.90	26.39	95.85	47.93	31.95	3.20	3.19	3.18
Bi [mg/kg]										
123	1.4	6.5	1.30	20.00	-	-	-	-	-	-
Br [mg/kg]										
29	1.5	0.001	0.01	1200	-	-	-	-	-	-
129	1.0	1.65	0.16	9.70	-	-	-	-	-	-
78	1.4	1.83	0.03	1.64	-	-	-	-	-	-
120	1.4	2.01	0.12	5.97	-	-	-	-	-	-
63	1.2	14.4	1.70	11.81	-	-	-	-	-	-
77	1.3	15.2	0.60	3.95	-	-	-	-	-	-
122	1.4	21.7	1.80	8.29	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	1.4	28.17	0.84	2.98	-	-	-	-	-	-
72	1.4	28.33	2.19	7.72	-	-	-	-	-	-
117	1.4	31	2.00	6.45	-	-	-	-	-	-
126	1.2	34.32	3.40	9.91	-	-	-	-	-	-
107	1.4	37.229	3.69	9.92	-	-	-	-	-	-
104	1.4	38.463	0.41	1.07	-	-	-	-	-	-
65	1.3	50.34	0.69	1.37	-	-	-	-	-	-
124	1.3	61.1	0.70	1.15	-	-	-	-	-	-
76	2.0	75.5	2.07	2.74	-	-	-	-	-	-
90	1.3	82	10.00	12.20	-	-	-	-	-	-

TABLE 3 (cont.). SUMMARY OF THE REPORTED RESULTS AND THE CALCULATED *z*- AND *u*-SCORES

Laboratory code	Technique code	Analyte concentration	Standard dev.	Relative std. dev., [%]	<i>z</i> -scores			<i>u</i> -scores		
					<i>k</i> = 0.5	<i>k</i> = 1.0	<i>k</i> = 1.5	<i>k</i> = 0.5	<i>k</i> = 1.0	<i>k</i> = 1.5
123	1.4	83	6.00	7.23	-	-	-	-	-	-
69	1.1	85.6	8.50	9.93	-	-	-	-	-	-
37	1.3	86.3	0.50	0.58	-	-	-	-	-	-
105	1.4	93.446	1.62	1.73	-	-	-	-	-	-
93	2.0	100	30.00	30.00	-	-	-	-	-	-
89	1.3	105.278	3.21	3.05	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	1.3	107.6	3.50	3.25	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	1.2	145	2.00	1.38	-	-	-	-	-	-
95	1.4	150	20.00	13.33	-	-	-	-	-	-
75	1.0	179	13.00	7.26	-	-	-	-	-	-
121	1.2	850*	200.00	23.53	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cd (0.544 ± 0.10) [mg/kg]										
65	1.3	0.47	0.07	14.89	-1.55	-0.78	-0.52	0.87	0.63	0.46
127	1.3	1.2	0.90	75.00	13.76	6.88	4.59	0.73	0.72	0.72
68	1.3	25*	7.00	28.00	512.85	256.43	170.95	3.49	3.49	3.49
117	1.4	620*	60.00	9.68	12990	6495	4330.10	10.32	10.32	10.32
Ce [mg/kg]										
105	1.4	1.651	0.19	11.45	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Co (0.22 ± 0.04) [mg/kg]										
77	1.3	0.095	0.01	10.53	-5.66	-2.83	-1.89	5.15	2.76	1.86
123	1.2	0.5	0.10	20.00	12.67	6.33	4.22	2.73	2.56	2.33
107	1.4	7.556	1.43	18.98	331.95	165.97	110.65	5.12	5.11	5.11
105	1.4	8.63	0.59	6.81	380.55	190.27	126.85	14.29	14.26	14.21
Cr (1.81 ± 0.26) [mg/kg]										
29	1.5	0.004	0.02	575	-13.64	-6.82	-4.55	13.44	6.79	4.54
117	1.4	1.7	0.10	5.88	-0.83	-0.42	-0.28	0.66	0.39	0.27
78	1.4	1.73	0.11	6.36	-0.60	-0.30	-0.20	0.46	0.28	0.19
65	1.3	2.07	0.04	1.93	1.96	0.98	0.65	1.88	0.97	0.65
92	1.4	3.004	0.19	6.19	9.02	4.51	3.01	5.23	3.69	2.72
105	1.4	3.174	1.17	36.96	10.30	5.15	3.43	1.16	1.13	1.10
127	1.3	3.8	1.60	42.11	15.03	7.51	5.01	1.24	1.23	1.21
53	1.4	4.1	0.50	12.20	17.30	8.65	5.77	4.43	4.05	3.59
77	1.3	7.6	0.50	6.58	43.73	21.87	14.58	11.19	10.23	9.07
107	1.4	10.908	3.76	34.47	68.71	34.36	22.90	2.42	2.41	2.41
123	1.2	12.3	5.00	40.65	79.23	39.61	26.41	2.10	2.10	2.09
72	1.4	14.825	1.24	8.36	98.30	49.15	32.77	10.44	10.27	10.00
89	1.3	14.856	4.22	28.43	98.53	49.27	32.84	3.09	3.08	3.08
68	1.3	93*	4.00	4.30	688.73	344.37	229.58	22.79	22.75	22.69
Cu (10.3 ± 1.16) [mg/kg]										
120	1.4	0.62*	0.12	19.35	-16.69	-8.35	-5.56	16.34	8.30	5.55
128	1.4	3.5	1.50	42.86	-11.72	-5.86	-3.91	4.23	3.59	2.96
77	1.3	5.6	0.20	3.57	-8.10	-4.05	-2.70	7.66	3.99	2.68
117	1.4	5.9	0.50	8.47	-7.59	-3.79	-2.53	5.75	3.48	2.43
123	1.2	6.4	0.40	6.25	-6.72	-3.36	-2.24	5.54	3.18	2.18
65	1.3	6.6	0.14	2.12	-6.38	-3.19	-2.13	6.20	3.17	2.12

TABLE 3 (cont.). SUMMARY OF THE REPORTED RESULTS AND THE CALCULATED *z*- AND *u*-SCORES

Laboratory code	Technique code	Analyte concentration	Standard dev.	Relative std. dev., [%]	<i>z</i> -scores			<i>u</i> -scores		
					<i>k</i> = 0.5	<i>k</i> = 1.0	<i>k</i> = 1.5	<i>k</i> = 0.5	<i>k</i> = 1.0	<i>k</i> = 1.5
125	2.0	7.4	0.25	3.38	-5.00	-2.50	-1.67	4.59	2.44	1.65
85	1.3	8.5	1.00	11.76	-3.10	-1.55	-1.03	1.56	1.18	0.90
36	1.2	8.56	0.14	1.64	-3.00	-1.50	-1.00	2.92	1.49	1.00
104	1.4	8.957	0.27	2.98	-2.32	-1.16	-0.77	2.10	1.13	0.76
53	1.4	9.5	1.00	10.53	-1.38	-0.69	-0.46	0.69	0.52	0.40
107	1.4	9.671	2.01	20.79	-1.08	-0.54	-0.36	0.30	0.27	0.24
63	1.2	9.8	1.10	11.22	-0.86	-0.43	-0.29	0.40	0.31	0.24
37	1.3	9.82	0.56	5.70	-0.83	-0.41	-0.28	0.60	0.37	0.26
92	1.4	9.996	0.12	1.24	-0.52	-0.26	-0.17	0.51	0.26	0.17
90	1.3	10	5.00	50.00	-0.52	-0.26	-0.17	0.06	0.06	0.06
54	1.4	10.2	0.84	8.24	-0.17	-0.09	-0.06	0.10	0.07	0.05
105	1.4	10.358	0.29	2.79	0.10	0.05	0.03	0.09	0.05	0.03
78	1.4	10.51	0.50	4.76	0.36	0.18	0.12	0.27	0.17	0.12
56	1.3	10.7	0.50	4.67	0.69	0.34	0.23	0.52	0.32	0.22
122	1.4	11.2	0.30	2.68	1.55	0.78	0.52	1.38	0.75	0.51
73	1.0	11.376	0.86	7.54	1.86	0.93	0.62	1.04	0.75	0.55
95	1.4	12	3.00	25.00	2.93	1.47	0.98	0.56	0.53	0.49
126	1.2	12.44	1.80	14.47	3.69	1.84	1.23	1.13	1.00	0.85
72	1.4	13.14	0.71	5.38	4.90	2.45	1.63	3.11	2.09	1.51
124	1.3	13.6	1.00	7.35	5.69	2.84	1.90	2.85	2.15	1.64
129	1.0	13.8	0.96	6.96	6.03	3.02	2.01	3.12	2.32	1.76
75	1.0	15	2.00	13.33	8.10	4.05	2.70	2.26	2.03	1.77
79	1.1	22*	2.50	11.36	20.17	10.09	6.72	4.56	4.25	3.84
89	1.3	22.644*	1.97	8.70	21.28	10.64	7.09	6.01	5.40	4.70
69	1.1	33.8*	4.50	13.31	40.52	20.26	13.51	5.18	5.06	4.87
68	1.3	141*	15.00	10.64	225.36	112.68	75.12	8.71	8.69	8.66
76	2.0	546.24*	101.04	18.50	924.08	462.04	308.03	5.30	5.30	5.30
Fe (526 ± 32.77) [mg/kg]										
120	1.4	85.97	1.31	1.52	-26.85	-13.43	-8.95	26.77	13.42	8.95
126	1.2	141.42	21.00	14.85	-23.47	-11.73	-7.82	14.44	9.88	7.19
117	1.4	180	20.00	11.11	-21.12	-10.56	-7.04	13.38	9.01	6.52
129	1.0	192.5	5.87	3.05	-20.35	-10.18	-6.78	19.16	10.02	6.74
77	1.3	230	9.70	4.22	-18.06	-9.03	-6.02	15.54	8.66	5.91
65	1.3	265.82	2.57	0.97	-15.88	-7.94	-5.29	15.69	7.91	5.29
127	1.3	276.8	2.90	1.05	-15.21	-7.60	-5.07	14.98	7.57	5.06
128	1.4	323.2	6.50	2.01	-12.38	-6.19	-4.13	11.50	6.07	4.09
73	1.0	339.364	23.03	6.79	-11.39	-5.69	-3.80	6.60	4.66	3.44
104	1.4	379.967	2.95	0.78	-8.91	-4.46	-2.97	8.77	4.44	2.97
123	1.2	391.8	8.00	2.04	-8.19	-4.09	-2.73	7.36	3.98	2.69
63	1.2	444	46.00	10.36	-5.00	-2.50	-1.67	1.68	1.45	1.22
95	1.4	490	90.00	18.37	-2.20	-1.10	-0.73	0.39	0.38	0.35
124	1.3	494.6	8.50	1.72	-1.92	-0.96	-0.64	1.70	0.93	0.63
121	1.2	520	50.00	9.62	-0.37	-0.18	-0.12	0.11	0.10	0.09
76	2.0	523.79	13.81	2.64	-0.13	-0.07	-0.04	0.10	0.06	0.04
53	1.4	530	59.00	11.13	0.24	0.12	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.05
37	1.3	548.75	3.57	0.65	1.39	0.69	0.46	1.36	0.69	0.46
90	1.3	550	80.00	14.55	1.46	0.73	0.49	0.29	0.28	0.26
79	1.1	560	55.00	9.82	2.07	1.04	0.69	0.59	0.53	0.46
56	1.3	578.6	19.50	3.37	3.21	1.61	1.07	2.07	1.38	0.99

TABLE 3 (cont.). SUMMARY OF THE REPORTED RESULTS AND THE CALCULATED *z*- AND *u*-SCORES

Laboratory code	Technique code	Analyte concentration	Standard dev.	Relative std. dev., [%]	<i>z</i> -scores			<i>u</i> -scores		
					<i>k</i> = 0.5	<i>k</i> = 1.0	<i>k</i> = 1.5	<i>k</i> = 0.5	<i>k</i> = 1.0	<i>k</i> = 1.5
78	1.4	584	36.00	6.16	3.54	1.77	1.18	1.47	1.19	0.95
92	1.4	588.8	1.92	0.33	3.83	1.92	1.28	3.81	1.91	1.28
36	1.2	606	65.00	10.73	4.88	2.44	1.63	1.19	1.10	0.98
93	2.0	635.5	80.00	12.59	6.68	3.34	2.23	1.34	1.27	1.17
69	1.1	650	65.40	10.06	7.57	3.78	2.52	1.84	1.70	1.52
54	1.4	653	9.00	1.38	7.75	3.88	2.58	6.79	3.74	2.54
122	1.4	653.8	176.60	27.01	7.80	3.90	2.60	0.72	0.71	0.70
105	1.4	711.461	97.44	13.70	11.32	5.66	3.77	1.88	1.80	1.70
75	1.0	715	22.00	3.08	11.53	5.77	3.84	6.89	4.79	3.51
89	1.3	723.889	23.02	3.18	12.08	6.04	4.03	7.00	4.94	3.65
107	1.4	840.249	31.09	3.70	19.18	9.59	6.39	8.94	6.96	5.40
72	1.4	892.941	69.35	7.77	22.39	11.20	7.46	5.15	4.78	4.32
68	1.3	2727*	78.00	2.86	134.32	67.16	44.77	27.62	26.01	23.87
29	1.5	3258.031*	2018.05	61.94	166.73	83.36	55.58	1.35	1.35	1.35
Ga [mg/kg]										
123	1.2	0.3	0.03	10.00	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hg (0.0807 ± 0.02) [mg/kg]										
105	1.4	0.495	0.15	30.10	46.67	23.34	15.56	2.78	2.76	2.74
La [mg/kg]										
77	1.3	0.102	0.01	9.80	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mn (83.3 ± 6.85) [mg/kg]										
120	1.4	9.36	0.48	5.13	-21.59	-10.80	-7.20	21.38	10.77	7.19
126	1.2	30.9	2.50	8.09	-15.30	-7.65	-5.10	12.36	7.19	4.96
77	1.3	34.5	1.60	4.64	-14.25	-7.13	-4.75	12.91	6.94	4.69
129	1.0	41.77	2.86	6.85	-12.13	-6.06	-4.04	9.31	5.60	3.89
124	1.3	48.1	6.60	13.72	-10.28	-5.14	-3.43	4.73	3.70	2.88
65	1.3	48.67	0.19	0.39	-10.11	-5.06	-3.37	10.10	5.05	3.37
128	1.4	60.4	7.70	12.75	-6.69	-3.34	-2.23	2.72	2.22	1.78
122	1.4	64.3	9.90	15.40	-5.55	-2.77	-1.85	1.81	1.58	1.33
85	1.2	65	6.00	9.23	-5.34	-2.67	-1.78	2.65	2.01	1.54
63	1.3	65	9.00	13.85	-5.34	-2.67	-1.78	1.90	1.62	1.34
104	1.4	66.33	0.97	1.46	-4.96	-2.48	-1.65	4.77	2.45	1.64
36	1.2	69.2	2.10	3.03	-4.12	-2.06	-1.37	3.51	1.97	1.34
117	1.4	70	2.00	2.86	-3.88	-1.94	-1.29	3.35	1.86	1.27
127	1.3	73.4	1.90	2.59	-2.89	-1.45	-0.96	2.53	1.39	0.95
76	2.0	75.31	5.47	7.26	-2.33	-1.17	-0.78	1.24	0.91	0.69
78	1.4	75.39	1.65	2.19	-2.31	-1.15	-0.77	2.08	1.12	0.76
90	1.3	77	6.00	7.79	-1.84	-0.92	-0.61	0.91	0.69	0.53
123	1.2	80.4	8.00	9.95	-0.85	-0.42	-0.28	0.33	0.28	0.22
54	1.4	81.08	2.93	3.61	-0.65	-0.32	-0.22	0.49	0.30	0.21
53	1.4	82	4.00	4.88	-0.38	-0.19	-0.13	0.25	0.16	0.12
92	1.4	84.33	0.47	0.55	0.30	0.15	0.10	0.30	0.15	0.10
72	1.4	86.04	7.20	8.37	0.80	0.40	0.27	0.34	0.28	0.22
37	1.3	87.06	2.56	2.94	1.10	0.55	0.37	0.88	0.51	0.36
105	1.4	89.662	3.57	3.98	1.86	0.93	0.62	1.29	0.82	0.58
79	1.3	95.09	0.56	0.59	3.44	1.72	1.15	3.40	1.72	1.15

TABLE 3 (cont.). SUMMARY OF THE REPORTED RESULTS AND THE CALCULATED *z*- AND *u*-SCORES

Laboratory code	Technique code	Analyte concentration	Standard dev.	Relative std. dev., [%]	<i>z</i> -scores			<i>u</i> -scores		
					<i>k</i> = 0.5	<i>k</i> = 1.0	<i>k</i> = 1.5	<i>k</i> = 0.5	<i>k</i> = 1.0	<i>k</i> = 1.5
95	1.4	100	20.00	20.00	4.88	2.44	1.63	0.82	0.79	0.74
56	1.3	100.5	3.50	3.48	5.02	2.51	1.67	3.51	2.24	1.58
69	1.1	105	17.60	16.76	6.34	3.17	2.11	1.21	1.15	1.06
75	1.0	111	26.00	23.42	8.09	4.04	2.70	1.06	1.03	0.99
107	1.4	112.539	4.54	4.04	8.54	4.27	2.85	5.14	3.56	2.60
89	1.3	115.274	7.44	6.46	9.34	4.67	3.11	3.90	3.16	2.52
93	2.0	116.5	30.00	25.75	9.70	4.85	3.23	1.10	1.08	1.05
73	1.0	170.833*	102.03	59.73	25.56	12.78	8.52	0.86	0.86	0.85
121	1.2	330*	50.00	15.15	72.04	36.02	24.01	4.92	4.89	4.83
29	1.5	750.05*	9.68	1.29	194.70	97.35	64.90	64.92	56.22	47.23
68	1.3	834*	45.00	5.40	219.22	109.61	73.07	16.63	16.49	16.26
Mo (2.46 ± 0.34) [mg/kg]										
65	1.3	2.07	0.08	3.86	-2.27	-1.13	-0.76	2.06	1.11	0.75
125	2.0	2.12	0.07	3.30	-1.98	-0.99	-0.66	1.83	0.97	0.65
63	1.2	2.2	0.50	22.73	-1.51	-0.76	-0.50	0.49	0.43	0.36
123	1.2	5.4*	1.80	33.33	17.11	8.55	5.70	1.63	1.60	1.57
68	1.3	23*	2.00	8.70	119.54	59.77	39.85	10.23	10.12	9.94
Na [mg/kg]										
123	1.2	67.4	1.90	2.82	-	-	-	-	-	-
76	2.0	264.49	17.45	6.60	-	-	-	-	-	-
37	1.3	630.98	207.43	32.87	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nb [mg/kg]										
68	1.3	24	2.00	8.33	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ni [mg/kg]										
63	1.2	1	0.16	16.00	-	-	-	-	-	-
123	1.2	1.1	0.40	36.36	-	-	-	-	-	-
37	1.3	1.29	0.38	29.46	-	-	-	-	-	-
77	1.3	2	0.20	10.00	-	-	-	-	-	-
92	1.4	2.156	0.09	4.31	-	-	-	-	-	-
65	1.3	2.32	0.04	1.72	-	-	-	-	-	-
78	1.4	2.45	0.08	3.27	-	-	-	-	-	-
117	1.4	2.5	0.70	28.00	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	1.4	2.6	0.30	11.54	-	-	-	-	-	-
129	1.0	3.45	0.44	12.75	-	-	-	-	-	-
126	1.2	7.48	2.00	26.74	-	-	-	-	-	-
128	1.4	8.3	2.30	27.71	-	-	-	-	-	-
107	1.4	11.045	4.63	41.90	-	-	-	-	-	-
72	1.4	11.401	0.89	7.79	-	-	-	-	-	-
105	1.4	11.751	1.21	10.29	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pb (6.16 ± 0.75) [mg/kg]										
123	1.2	0.5	0.01	2.00	-15.10	-7.55	-5.03	15.10	7.55	5.03
63	1.2	1.1	0.15	13.64	-13.50	-6.75	-4.50	12.54	6.62	4.46
117	1.4	2.9	0.50	17.24	-8.70	-4.35	-2.90	5.22	3.62	2.65
54	1.4	3.678	0.67	18.19	-6.62	-3.31	-2.21	3.24	2.47	1.90
120	1.4	3.87	0.23	5.94	-6.11	-3.06	-2.04	5.21	2.92	2.00

TABLE 3 (cont.). SUMMARY OF THE REPORTED RESULTS AND THE CALCULATED *z*- AND *u*-SCORES

Laboratory code	Technique code	Analyte concentration	Standard dev.	Relative std. dev., [%]	<i>z</i> -scores			<i>u</i> -scores		
					<i>k</i> = 0.5	<i>k</i> = 1.0	<i>k</i> = 1.5	<i>k</i> = 0.5	<i>k</i> = 1.0	<i>k</i> = 1.5
65	1.3	4.02	0.31	7.71	-5.71	-2.86	-1.90	4.40	2.64	1.83
92	1.4	4.694	0.06	1.32	-3.91	-1.96	-1.30	3.86	1.95	1.30
127	1.3	4.8	0.50	10.42	-3.63	-1.81	-1.21	2.18	1.51	1.11
89	1.3	5.853	0.86	14.62	-0.82	-0.41	-0.27	0.33	0.27	0.22
53	1.4	5.9	0.40	6.78	-0.69	-0.35	-0.23	0.47	0.31	0.22
78	1.4	6.24	0.55	8.81	0.21	0.11	0.07	0.12	0.09	0.06
37	1.3	6.42	0.32	4.98	0.69	0.35	0.23	0.53	0.32	0.22
56	1.3	7.2	0.20	2.78	2.78	1.39	0.93	2.45	1.34	0.91
95	1.4	10	3.00	30.00	10.25	5.12	3.42	1.27	1.24	1.20
79	1.1	10	1.20	12.00	10.25	5.12	3.42	3.05	2.71	2.34
104	1.4	10.067	0.24	2.41	10.43	5.21	3.48	8.75	4.96	3.40
125	2.0	10.55	2.84	26.92	11.71	5.86	3.90	1.53	1.49	1.44
68	1.3	11	4.00	36.36	12.92	6.46	4.31	1.20	1.19	1.16
72	1.4	11.493	1.37	11.89	14.23	7.12	4.74	3.76	3.42	3.01
105	1.4	11.967	5.76	48.09	15.50	7.75	5.17	1.01	1.00	0.99
122	1.4	13.2	0.70	5.30	18.79	9.39	6.26	8.87	6.86	5.32
107	1.4	15.221	6.81	44.76	24.18	12.09	8.06	1.33	1.32	1.31
69	1.1	17	2.60	15.29	28.93	14.46	9.64	4.13	4.01	3.83
Pd [mg/kg]										
68	1.3	7	3.00	42.86	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rb [mg/kg]										
120	1.4	1.64	0.11	6.71	-	-	-	-	-	-
72	1.4	3.364	0.03	0.80	-	-	-	-	-	-
75	1.0	4	1.00	25.00	-	-	-	-	-	-
65	1.3	5.16	0.03	0.58	-	-	-	-	-	-
77	1.3	5.7	0.30	5.26	-	-	-	-	-	-
104	1.4	6.37	0.17	2.72	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	1.4	6.854	0.50	7.32	-	-	-	-	-	-
117	1.4	7	0.30	4.29	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	1.4	7.2	0.70	9.72	-	-	-	-	-	-
128	1.4	7.28	0.36	4.95	-	-	-	-	-	-
92	1.4	7.634	0.06	0.81	-	-	-	-	-	-
85	1.3	8	1.00	12.50	-	-	-	-	-	-
37	1.3	8.37	0.16	1.91	-	-	-	-	-	-
78	1.4	8.9	0.30	3.37	-	-	-	-	-	-
123	1.4	9.3	0.50	5.38	-	-	-	-	-	-
124	1.3	9.4	0.60	6.38	-	-	-	-	-	-
63	1.2	9.8	1.20	12.24	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	1.3	10	0.30	3.00	-	-	-	-	-	-
125	2.0	10.3	0.25	2.43	-	-	-	-	-	-
69	1.1	11.7	1.31	11.20	-	-	-	-	-	-
105	1.4	11.797	0.29	2.46	-	-	-	-	-	-
90	1.3	12	5.00	41.67	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	1.2	12.6	0.60	4.76	-	-	-	-	-	-
122	1.4	13	1.00	7.69	-	-	-	-	-	-
89	1.3	16.683	0.95	5.69	-	-	-	-	-	-
95	1.4	17	3.00	17.65	-	-	-	-	-	-
107	1.4	17.502	2.60	14.86	-	-	-	-	-	-

TABLE 3 (cont.). SUMMARY OF THE REPORTED RESULTS AND THE CALCULATED *z*- AND *u*-SCORES

Laboratory code	Technique code	Analyte concentration	Standard dev.	Relative std. dev., [%]	<i>z</i> -scores			<i>u</i> -scores		
					<i>k</i> = 0.5	<i>k</i> = 1.0	<i>k</i> = 1.5	<i>k</i> = 0.5	<i>k</i> = 1.0	<i>k</i> = 1.5
68	1.3	37*	2.00	5.41	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sc [mg/kg]										
123	1.4	16.9	7.70	45.56	-	-	-	-	-	-
68	1.3	34	3.00	8.82	-	-	-	-	-	-
Se [mg/kg]										
123	1.2	0.08	0.00	1.25	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sn [mg/kg]										
65	1.3	2.48	0.31	12.50	-	-	-	-	-	-
105	1.4	3.291	1.43	43.33	-	-	-	-	-	-
68	1.3	22	9.00	40.91	-	-	-	-	-	-
125	2.0	25.19	0.74	2.94	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sr (94.3 ± 7.61) [mg/kg]										
120	1.4	15.03*	0.32	2.13	-20.83	-10.42	-6.94	20.76	10.41	6.94
77	1.3	37.5	1.90	5.07	-14.93	-7.46	-4.98	13.36	7.24	4.91
65	1.3	52	0.28	0.54	-11.12	-5.56	-3.71	11.09	5.55	3.70
124	1.3	55	0.50	0.91	-10.33	-5.16	-3.44	10.24	5.15	3.44
63	1.2	57.5	4.60	8.00	-9.67	-4.84	-3.22	6.16	4.14	2.99
117	1.4	62	4.00	6.45	-8.49	-4.24	-2.83	5.85	3.76	2.67
126	1.1	81.59	4.10	5.03	-3.34	-1.67	-1.11	2.27	1.47	1.05
85	1.3	86.5	9.00	10.40	-2.05	-1.02	-0.68	0.80	0.66	0.54
76	2.0	88.52	2.95	3.33	-1.52	-0.76	-0.51	1.20	0.71	0.49
53	1.4	90	5.00	5.56	-1.13	-0.57	-0.38	0.68	0.47	0.35
104	1.4	90.65	0.76	0.84	-0.96	-0.48	-0.32	0.94	0.48	0.32
125	2.0	94.6	2.12	2.24	0.08	0.04	0.03	0.07	0.04	0.03
54	1.4	95.79	1.76	1.84	0.39	0.20	0.13	0.36	0.19	0.13
92	1.4	96.49	0.34	0.35	0.58	0.29	0.19	0.57	0.29	0.19
90	1.3	97	10.00	10.31	0.71	0.35	0.24	0.25	0.21	0.18
69	1.1	99.3	9.80	9.87	1.31	0.66	0.44	0.48	0.40	0.33
56	1.3	101.8	3.50	3.44	1.97	0.99	0.66	1.45	0.90	0.63
37	1.3	101.81	0.57	0.56	1.97	0.99	0.66	1.95	0.98	0.66
123	1.4	101.9	3.50	3.43	2.00	1.00	0.67	1.47	0.91	0.64
78	1.4	102	4.00	3.92	2.02	1.01	0.67	1.39	0.90	0.64
75	1.0	106	6.00	5.66	3.07	1.54	1.02	1.65	1.21	0.91
72	1.4	106.025	9.09	8.57	3.08	1.54	1.03	1.19	0.99	0.80
93	2.0	108.5	30.00	27.65	3.73	1.87	1.24	0.47	0.46	0.44
105	1.4	108.717	1.87	1.72	3.79	1.89	1.26	3.40	1.84	1.25
95	1.4	121	6.00	4.96	7.02	3.51	2.34	3.76	2.76	2.07
107	1.4	126.139	4.73	3.75	8.37	4.18	2.79	5.24	3.55	2.58
89	1.3	127.778	3.80	2.97	8.80	4.40	2.93	6.23	3.94	2.78
36	1.2	131	1.00	0.76	9.65	4.82	3.22	9.33	4.78	3.20
68	1.3	136	3.00	2.21	10.96	5.48	3.65	8.61	5.10	3.53
122	1.4	174.1*	12.90	7.41	20.97	10.49	6.99	5.93	5.33	4.63
29	1.5	423.282*	7.27	1.72	86.46	43.23	28.82	40.08	31.25	24.31
121	1.2	1030*	200.00	19.42	245.92	122.96	81.97	4.68	4.68	4.67

TABLE 3 (cont.). SUMMARY OF THE REPORTED RESULTS AND THE CALCULATED *z*- AND *u*-SCORES

Laboratory code	Technique code	Analyte concentration	Standard dev.	Relative std. dev., [%]	<i>z</i> -scores			<i>u</i> -scores		
					<i>k</i> = 0.5	<i>k</i> = 1.0	<i>k</i> = 1.5	<i>k</i> = 0.5	<i>k</i> = 1.0	<i>k</i> = 1.5
Th [mg/kg]										
125	2.0	2.31	0.21	9.09	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ti [mg/kg]										
104	1.4	2.826	0.98	34.68	-	-	-	-	-	-
126	1.1	11.95	4.00	33.47	-	-	-	-	-	-
65	1.3	13.97	1.60	11.45	-	-	-	-	-	-
78	1.4	16.85	1.41	8.37	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	1.4	21	3.00	14.29	-	-	-	-	-	-
120	1.4	24.79	1.16	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-
85	1.3	28	3.00	10.71	-	-	-	-	-	-
129	1.0	31.15	5.65	18.14	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	1.4	32.35	3.68	11.38	-	-	-	-	-	-
105	1.4	40.45	10.90	26.95	-	-	-	-	-	-
93	2.0	54.5	20.00	36.70	-	-	-	-	-	-
69	1.1	60.4	7.90	13.08	-	-	-	-	-	-
72	1.4	64.89	6.36	9.80	-	-	-	-	-	-
107	1.4	78.414	15.15	19.32	-	-	-	-	-	-
123	1.4	81	10.00	12.35	-	-	-	-	-	-
68	1.3	1019*	32.00	3.14	-	-	-	-	-	-
U [mg/kg]										
68	1.3	12	3.00	25.00	-	-	-	-	-	-
V (1.31 ± 0.20) [mg/kg]										
105	1.4	2.403	0.32	13.36	10.86	5.43	3.62	3.25	2.89	2.48
123	1.4	3.3	0.80	24.24	19.78	9.89	6.59	2.47	2.41	2.33
68	1.3	24*	4.00	16.67	225.53	112.77	75.18	5.67	5.67	5.66
W [mg/kg]										
68	1.3	153	40.00	26.14	-	-	-	-	-	-
Y [mg/kg]										
123	1.4	0.8	0.40	50.00	-	-	-	-	-	-
105	1.4	0.948	0.72	76.16	-	-	-	-	-	-
Zn (107 ± 8.47) [mg/kg]										
120	1.4	11.72	0.41	3.50	-22.49	-11.25	-7.50	22.39	11.23	7.49
123	1.2	12.9	6.20	48.06	-22.21	-11.11	-7.40	12.53	8.96	6.65
129	1.0	23.54	1.15	4.89	-19.70	-9.85	-6.57	19.01	9.76	6.54
79	1.3	26.3	4.10	15.59	-19.05	-9.53	-6.35	13.69	8.57	6.04
73	1.0	30.858	20.98	67.99	-17.97	-8.99	-5.99	3.56	3.37	3.10
126	1.2	31.48	4.70	14.93	-17.83	-8.91	-5.94	11.94	7.79	5.57
77	1.3	43.2	2.10	4.86	-15.06	-7.53	-5.02	13.49	7.31	4.95
127	1.3	54	0.80	1.48	-12.51	-6.26	-4.17	12.29	6.23	4.16
65	1.3	60.65	0.78	1.29	-10.94	-5.47	-3.65	10.76	5.45	3.64
128	1.4	68.7	5.10	7.42	-9.04	-4.52	-3.01	5.78	3.87	2.80
76	2.0	70.79	4.67	6.60	-8.55	-4.27	-2.85	5.74	3.74	2.67
124	1.3	75.5	1.60	2.12	-7.44	-3.72	-2.48	6.96	3.65	2.46

TABLE 3 (cont.). SUMMARY OF THE REPORTED RESULTS AND THE CALCULATED *z*- AND *u*-SCORES

Laboratory code	Technique code	Analyte concentration	Standard dev.	Relative std. dev., [%]	<i>z</i> -scores			<i>u</i> -scores		
					<i>k</i> = 0.5	<i>k</i> = 1.0	<i>k</i> = 1.5	<i>k</i> = 0.5	<i>k</i> = 1.0	<i>k</i> = 1.5
85	1.3	81	8.00	9.88	-6.14	-3.07	-2.05	2.87	2.23	1.73
90	1.3	88	12.00	13.64	-4.49	-2.24	-1.50	1.49	1.29	1.09
104	1.4	89.953	0.85	0.95	-4.02	-2.01	-1.34	3.95	2.00	1.34
75	1.0	91	5.00	5.49	-3.78	-1.89	-1.26	2.44	1.63	1.17
36	1.1	103	8.00	7.77	-0.94	-0.47	-0.31	0.44	0.34	0.27
69	1.2	103	10.40	10.10	-0.94	-0.47	-0.31	0.36	0.30	0.24
78	1.4	104	4.00	3.85	-0.71	-0.35	-0.24	0.51	0.32	0.23
72	1.4	104.668	8.78	8.39	-0.55	-0.28	-0.18	0.24	0.19	0.15
125	1.2	106	1.90	1.79	-0.24	-0.12	-0.08	0.22	0.12	0.08
63	2.0	106	9.00	8.49	-0.24	-0.12	-0.08	0.10	0.08	0.06
53	1.4	106	8.00	7.55	-0.24	-0.12	-0.08	0.11	0.09	0.07
95	1.4	109	4.00	3.67	0.47	0.24	0.16	0.34	0.21	0.15
54	1.4	110	2.00	1.82	0.71	0.35	0.24	0.64	0.34	0.23
37	1.3	111.37	0.72	0.65	1.03	0.52	0.34	1.02	0.51	0.34
92	1.4	112.9	0.43	0.38	1.39	0.70	0.46	1.39	0.70	0.46
105	1.4	115.689	3.31	2.86	2.05	1.03	0.68	1.62	0.96	0.66
122	1.4	118.1	8.70	7.37	2.62	1.31	0.87	1.15	0.91	0.72
117	1.4	120	20.00	16.67	3.07	1.53	1.02	0.64	0.60	0.55
107	1.4	121.798	2.42	1.99	3.49	1.75	1.16	3.03	1.68	1.14
93	2.0	122	30.00	24.59	3.54	1.77	1.18	0.50	0.48	0.46
56	1.3	126.9	4.50	3.55	4.70	2.35	1.57	3.22	2.07	1.48
89	1.3	169.167	5.33	3.15	14.68	7.34	4.89	9.13	6.21	4.51
68	1.3	345*	15.00	4.35	56.18	28.09	18.73	15.27	13.82	12.11
29	1.5	729.369*	9.55	1.31	146.92	73.46	48.97	59.58	48.76	39.15
Zr [mg/kg]										
125	2.0	4.43	0.21	4.74	-	-	-	-	-	-
69	1.1	8.1	0.94	11.60	-	-	-	-	-	-
68	1.3	27	2.00	7.41	-	-	-	-	-	-
123	1.4	357.7*	125.00	34.95	-	-	-	-	-	-

TABLE 4. THE COMBINED *z*-SCORES FOR THE PARTICIPATING LABORATORIES

Lab Code	Number of analytes	Rescaled sum of scores (RSZ)			Sum of squared scores (SSZ)			Critical value
		<i>k</i> = 0.5	<i>k</i> = 1.0	<i>k</i> = 1.5	<i>k</i> = 0.5	<i>k</i> = 1.0	<i>k</i> = 1.5	
29	9	334	167	111.22	238217	59554	26469	19.02
36	8	24.61	12.31	8.20	1536	384	171	17.53
37	13	7.46	3.73	2.49	3608	902	401	24.74
53	12	-7.13	-3.57	-2.38	815	204	90.56	23.34
54	8	-4.10	-2.05	-1.37	203	50.83	22.59	17.53
56	9	8.40	4.20	2.80	472	118.01	52.45	19.02
63	11	-36.07	-18.03	-12.02	4489	1122	499	21.92
65	16	-20.66	-10.33	-6.89	1585	396	176	28.85
68	17	1075	537	358	3490388	872597	387821	30.19
69	11	18.53	9.27	6.18	3065	766	341	21.92
72	12	-20.14	-10.07	-6.71	19889	4972	2210	23.34
73	8	-10.74	-5.37	-3.58	1337	334	148.59	17.53
75	10	18.04	9.02	6.01	1001	250	111.17	20.48
76	11	257	128.35	85.56	855764	213941	95085	21.92
77	11	-18.62	-9.31	-6.21	3614	904	402	21.92
78	12	-8.61	-4.31	-2.87	316	79.07	35.14	23.34
79	7	1.64	.82	.55	994	248	110.40	16.01
85	9	-24.01	-12.01	-8.00	1150	288	127.79	19.02
89	9	55.42	27.71	18.47	10789	2697	1199	19.02
90	7	7.87	3.93	2.62	375	93.85	41.71	16.01
92	9	5.61	2.81	1.87	232	57.95	25.75	19.02
93	5	11.19	5.59	3.73	167	41.74	18.55	12.83
95	8	29.12	14.56	9.71	3057	764	340	17.53
104	10	-29.09	-14.54	-9.70	2831	708	315	20.48
105	16	109.52	54.76	36.51	148973	37243	16553	28.85
107	11	195	97.70	65.13	129342	32335	14371	21.92
117	14	3434	1717	1145	168751243	42187810	18750138	26.12
120	10	-101.47	-50.74	-33.82	13345	3336	1483	20.48
121	6	145.96	72.98	48.65	69474	17368	7719	14.45
122	8	-4.16	-2.08	-1.39	2651	663	295	17.53
123	17	24.69	12.34	8.23	11602	2900	1289	30.19
124	7	-15.95	-7.98	-5.32	794	198	88.17	16.01
125	6	10.51	5.26	3.50	614	154	68.27	14.45
126	11	-3.18	-1.59	-1.06	3655	914	406	21.92
127	11	-45.61	-22.81	-15.20	5208	1302	579	21.92
128	8	-41.07	-20.54	-13.69	2027	507	225	17.53
129	8	-43.35	-21.67	-14.45	3428	857	381	17.53




FIG. 1. Relative value of the target standard deviation, RSD , as a function of the assigned mass fraction of the analyte, X_A , calculated by using a modified Horowitz function, Eqn. (1).




FIG. 2. Correlation between assigned, X_A , and consensus values of analytes, X_C^l .

¹ Solid red squares correspond to the elements the assigned values of which were known with high degree of accuracy. The uncertainties of the assigned values were calculated according to Eqn. (2) with $k = 1$. The uncertainties of the consensus values were calculated according to Eqn. (20), except for the results reported by a single laboratory, in such a case the laboratory estimate of the uncertainty was shown in the plot.




FIG. 3. The density distribution function for the analyte Al.




FIG. 4. The density distribution function for the analyte As.




FIG. 5. The density distribution function for the analyte Br.




FIG. 6. The density distribution function for the analyte Ca.




FIG. 7. The density distribution function for the analyte Cl.




FIG. 8. The density distribution function for the analyte Cr.




FIG. 9. The density distribution function for the analyte Cu.




FIG. 10. The density distribution function for the analyte Fe.




FIG. 11. The density distribution function for the analyte K.




FIG. 12. The density distribution function for the analyte Mg.




FIG. 13. The density distribution function for the analyte Mn.




FIG. 14. The density distribution function for the analyte Ni.




FIG. 15. The density distribution function for the analyte P.




FIG. 16. The density distribution function for the analyte Pb.




FIG. 17. The density distribution function for the analyte Rb.




FIG. 18. The density distribution function for the analyte S.




FIG. 19. The density distribution function for the analyte Si.




FIG. 20. The density distribution function for the analyte Sr.




FIG. 21. The density distribution function for the analyte Ti.




FIG. 22. The density distribution function for the analyte Zn.




FIG. 23. Distributions of z-scores for analyte As.




FIG. 24. Distributions of z-scores for analyte Ca.




FIG. 25. Distributions of z-scores for analyte Cl.




FIG. 26. Distributions of z-scores for analyte Cr.




FIG. 27. Distributions of *z*-scores for analyte Cu.




FIG. 28. Distributions of *z*-scores for analyte Fe.




FIG. 29. Distributions of z-scores for analyte K.




FIG. 30. Distributions of z-scores for analyte Mg.




FIG. 31. Distributions of z-scores for analyte Mn.




FIG. 32. Distributions of z-scores for analyte P.




FIG. 33. Distributions of z-scores for analyte Pb.




FIG. 34. Distributions of z-scores for analyte S.




FIG. 35. Distributions of *z*-scores for analyte Sr.




FIG. 36. Distributions of *z*-scores for analyte Zn.




FIG. 37. Combined plots of z - and u -scores for the laboratory with code 29.




FIG. 38. Combined plots of z - and u -scores for the laboratory with code 36.




FIG. 39. Combined plots of z - and u -scores for the laboratory with code 37.




FIG. 40. Combined plots of z - and u -scores for the laboratory with code 53.




FIG. 41. Combined plots of z - and u -scores for the laboratory with code 54.




FIG. 42. Combined plots of z - and u -scores for the laboratory with code 56.




FIG. 43. Combined plots of z - and u -scores for the laboratory with code 63.






FIG. 44. Combined plots of z - and u -scores for the laboratory with code 65.






FIG. 47. Combined plots of z - and u -scores for the laboratory with code 72.




FIG. 48. Combined plots of z - and u -scores for the laboratory with code 73.




FIG. 49. Combined plots of z - and u -scores for the laboratory with code 75.




FIG. 50. Combined plots of z - and u -scores for the laboratory with code 76.




FIG. 51. Combined plots of z - and u -scores for the laboratory with code 77.




FIG. 52. Combined plots of z - and u -scores for the laboratory with code 78.




FIG. 53. Combined plots of z - and u -scores for the laboratory with code 79.










FIG. 54. Combined plots of z - and u -scores for the laboratory with code 85.










FIG. 61. Combined plots of z - and u -scores for the laboratory with code 105.




FIG. 62. Combined plots of z - and u -scores for the laboratory with code 107.




FIG. 63. Combined plots of z - and u -scores for the laboratory with code 117.




FIG. 64. Combined plots of z - and u -scores for the laboratory with code 120.




FIG. 65. Combined plots of z - and u -scores for the laboratory with code 121.




FIG. 66. Combined plots of z - and u -scores for the laboratory with code 122.




FIG. 67. Combined plots of z - and u -scores for the laboratory with code 123.




FIG. 68. Combined plots of z - and u -scores for the laboratory with code 124.




FIG. 69. Combined plots of z - and u -scores for the laboratory with code 125.




FIG. 70. Combined plots of z - and u -scores for the laboratory with code 126.




FIG. 71. Combined plots of z - and u -scores for the laboratory with code 127.




FIG. 72. Combined plots of z - and u -scores for the laboratory with code 128.




FIG. 73. Combined plots of z - and u -scores for the laboratory with code 129.




FIG. 74. Utilization of analytical techniques. For each analytical technique the number of submitted results is shown. The percent values relate to the total number of 503 submitted results.

REFERENCES

- [1] THOMPSON, M., "Recent trends in inter-laboratory precision at ppb and sub-ppb concentrations in relation to fitness for purpose criteria in proficiency testing", *Analyst* 125 (2000) 385-386.
- [2] GRUBBS, F.E., "Procedures for detecting outlying observations in samples", *Technometrics* 11 (1969) 1-21.
- [3] INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, A Nonparametric Statistical Method for the Determination of a Confidence Interval for the Mean of a Set of Results Obtained in a Laboratory Intercomparison, IAEA/RL/84, IAEA, Vienna (1981).
- [4] INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Report on the Intercomparison Run IAEA-Soil-7: Trace Elements in Soil, IAEA/RL/112, IAEA, Vienna (1984).
- [5] NATRELLA, M.G., Experimental Statistic, Handbook 91, National Bureau of Standards, United States Department of Commerce (1963).
- [6] ZIELINSKI R., Statistical Tables, PWN, Warsaw (1972).
- [7] GRUBBS, F.E., "Sample Criteria for Testing Outlying Observations", *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics* 21 (1950) 27-58.
- [8] THOMPSON, M., WOOD, R., "The international harmonized protocol for the proficiency testing of (chemical) analytical laboratories", *Journal - Association of Official Analytical Chemists*. 76 (1993) 926-940.
- [9] INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION FOR STANDARDIZATION, Conformity assessment –General requirements for proficiency testing, ISO/IEC/DIS 17043:2008, ISO, Geneva (2008).
- [10] HUND, E., MASSART, D.L., SMEYERS-VERBEKE, J., "Inter-laboratory studies in analytical chemistry", *Analytica Chimica Acta* 423 (2000) 145-165.

GLOSSARY

The definitions of terms used in the proficiency testing schemes are provided. Although this terminology might be known to the participants or can be found elsewhere [8-10] the terms used in this report are clearly defined to avoid any ambiguity.

Proficiency testing: evaluation of participant performance against pre-established criteria by means of interlaboratory comparisons.

True value: the actual concentration of the analyte in the matrix.

Assigned value: the value of the concentration of the analyte in the matrix used as the true value by the proficiency testing coordinator in the statistical treatment of results (or the best available estimate).

Target value for standard deviation: a numerical value for the standard deviation of a measurement result, which has been designated as a target for measurement quality.

Consensus value: the mean value of the reported laboratory results after the removal of outliers.

Standard deviation of the consensus value: the standard deviation of the mean value of the reported laboratory results after the removal of outliers.

Certified Reference Material: A reference material, accompanied by a certificate, one or more of whose property values are certified by a procedure which establishes traceability to an accurate realization of the unit in which the property values are expressed, and for which each certified value is accompanied by an uncertainty at a stated level of confidence.

LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS TO DRAFTING AND REVIEW

COORDINATOR OF THE PROFICIENCY TEST

AUSTRIA

Migliori, A. IAEA Laboratories
Reaktor str. 1
A-2444 Seibersdorf
Austria

PARTICIPATING LABORATORIES

ALBANIA

Civici N. Institute of Nuclear Physics,
P.O.Box 85, Qesarake,
1001 Tirana,
Albania

ALGERIA

Toumert I. Nuclear Research Centre of Algiers (CRNA),
2, BP 399 Alger-Gare,
16000 Algiers,
Algeria

ARGENTINA

Custo G. Servicios Analíticos,
Laboratorio de Fluorescencia de Rayos X,
Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica,
Avenida General Paz 1499,
1650 San Martín,
Argentina

AUSTRIA

Strelí C. Vienna University of Technology,
Atominstitut
Stadionallee 2,
1020 Vienna,
Austria

BELGIUM

Lycke S. Ghent University,
Krijgslaan 281 - S12,
9000 Gent,
Belgium

BRAZIL

Parreira P.S.

Universidade Estadual de Londrina,
Laboratório de Física Nuclear Aplicada,
Dept. de Física/CCE,
Caixa Postal 6001,
Campus Universitário - PR 455 Km 380,
86051-990 Londrina,
Brazil

CANADA

Jovanovic S.

Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission Lab,
3484 Limebank Road,
K1V 1E1 Ottawa,
Canada

CHILE

Bennun L.

Applied Physics Laboratory,
Concepcion University,
41 Conception,
Chile

DEMOCRATIC REP. OF THE CONGO

Thomas S.K.

Commissariat Général à l'Energie Atomique,
Centre Régional d'Etudes Nucléaires,
de Kinshasa (CGEA/CREN-K),
B.P. 868,
Kin XI Kinshasa,
Democratic Rep. of the Congo

GERMANY

Stosnach H.

Bruker Nano GmbH,
Am Studio 2D,
12489 Berlin,
Germany

Sahre M.

BAM 6.7,
Unter den Eichen 87,
12205 Berlin,
Germany

Hybsier S.

Institute for Experimental Endocrinology,
Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin,
Augustenburger Platz 1
13353 Berlin,
Germany

GREECE

Kazakis N.A.

Laboratory of Archaeometry
Cultural and Educational Technology Institute,
Research Center "Athena",
P.O. Box 159,
GR-67100 Xanthi,
Greece

Kallithrakas-Kontos N.

Technical University of Crete,
University Campus,
73100 Chania,
Greece

INDIA

Misra N.L.

Bhabha Atomic Research Centre,
Fuel Chemistry Division,
400085 Mumbai,
India

Prasad M.V.R.

Indira Gandhi Centre for Atomic Research,
Environment & Safety Division,
603102 Kalpakkam,
India

KENYA

Maina D.M.

University of Nairobi,
Institute of Nuclear Science & Technology,
P.O. Box 30197 - 00100,
+254 Nairobi,
Kenya

Towett E.

World Agroforestry Centre (ICRAF),
UN Avenue, Gigiri; P.O.Box 30677,
100 Nairobi,
Kenya

MADAGASCAR

Andriambololona R.

Institut National des Sciences
et Techniques Nucléaires,
Près Bloc Technique,
Enceinte de l'Université d'Antananarivo,
101 Antananarivo,
Madagascar

MEXICO

Zarazúa G.

National Institute for Nuclear Research,
Carretera México-Toluca S/N,
La Marquesa,
52750 Ocoyoacac,
Mexico

MOROCCO

Tahri M.

CNESTEN,
BP 1382,
R.P. 10001 Rabat,
Morocco

NIGERIA

Pelemo D.A.

Centre for Energy Res. and Develop. CERD,
Obafemi Awolowo University (OAU),
22005 Ile-Ife,
Nigeria

PERU

Olivera P.

Instituto Peruano de Energia Nuclear,
Centro Nuclear Oscar Miroquesada
de la Guerra,
Av. Jose Saco Km. 12,5 Carabayllo,
Lima 6,
Peru

POLAND

Banas D.

Department of Physical Methods,
Holycross Cancer Center,
Swietokrzyska 15,
25-406 Kielce,
Poland

Kubala-Kukus A.

Institute of Physics,
Jan Kochanowski University,
Swietokrzyska 15,
25-406 Kielce,
Poland

SENEGAL

Traore A.

Institut de Technologie Nucleaire Appliquee,
Avenue Cheikh Anta Diop de Dakar BP.5005,
99000 Dakar,
Senegal

SLOVENIA

Kump P.

Jozef Stefan Institute,
Jamova 39,
1000 Ljubljana,
Slovenia

SPAIN

Marguí E.

Departamento de Quimica,
Universidad de Girona,
Campus Montilivi s/n,
17071 Girona,
Spain

SRI LANKA

Seneviratne M.C.S.

Atomic Energy Authority,
No:60/460,
Baseline Road, Orugodawatte, Wellampitiya,
Colombo,
Sri Lanka

SYRIA

Khuder A.

Atomic Energy Commission of Syria (AECS),
Department of Chemistry,
Atomic Energy Commission,
17 Nissan St. Kafer Sousah,
P.O. Box: 6091 Damascus,
Syria

THAILAND

Fungklin R.

Nuclear Technology Service Center,
Thailand Institute of Nuclear Technology,
9/9 Moo 7 Tambol Saimul,
26120 Ongkharak,
Thailand

TURKEY

Başsari A.

Çekmece Nucl. Res. and Training Center,
Yarımburgaz Mah,
Nükleer Araştırma Merkezi
Yolu Küçükçekmece,
34303 İstanbul,
Turkey

UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA

Muhulo A.

Tanzania Atomic Energy Commission,
Box 743,
Arusha,
United Republic of Tanzania

URUGUAY

Rosario Odino Q.F.

Laboratorio de Tecnogestión,
Ministerio de Industria, Energía y Minería,
Hervidero 2861 entre Río Grande y Ricaurte,
11800 Montevideo,
Uruguay

VENEZUELA

Greaves E.D.

Universidad Simón Bolívar,
Laboratorio de Física Nuclear,
Valle de Sartenejas, Baruta, Edo. Miranda,
1080 Caracas,
Venezuela

Parra L.M.

Univ. Centrooccidental Lisandro Alvarado,
Decanato de Agronomía,
Dpto. Química y Suelos módulo 1,
3023 Cabudare,
Venezuela

VIETNAM

Thi Kim Dung N.

Institute for Technology of Radioactive
and Rare Elements,
48 Lang-Ha street,
100000 Hanoi,
Vietnam